

To: Nye, Michael[nye.michael@epa.gov]; Garland, Jay[Garland.Jay@epa.gov]; Jahne, Michael[Jahne.Michael@epa.gov]
Cc: Morgan, Ardra[Morgan.Ardra@epa.gov]
From: Ma, Cissy
Sent: Thur 12/14/2017 2:20:36 PM
Subject: Re: Suggested CRADA language for paragraph 4.1

45K only the electricity cost? Or labor cost?

Xin (Cissy) Ma, Ph.D., P.E., Environmental Engineer

US EPA ORD
National Risk Management Research Laboratory
Water Systems Division
26 West Martin Luther King Drive, Mail Stop 483
Cincinnati, Ohio 45268

Phone: (513)-569-7828
Fax: (513)-569-7111
Ma.cissy@epa.gov

From: Nye, Michael
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 5:53 PM
To: Ma, Cissy; Garland, Jay; Jahne, Michael
Cc: Morgan, Ardra
Subject: FW: Suggested CRADA language for paragraph 4.1

45k to operate for 3 months. Is the watergen system this expensive? What do we think about 1 month? I assume we are not covering the costs of operation.

Thoughts appreciated

M

Michael B. Nye PhD

Net Zero Program Manager

National Exposure Research Laboratory

EPA Region 8 - Denver

US Environmental Protection Agency

T: 303 312 6986

M: 303 912 8259

From: Roy Schwartz [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 3:37 PM
To: Nye, Michael <nye.michael@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Suggested CRADA language for paragraph 4.1

Michael,

I need to talk to my CEO who is in Germany and present him with a budget. I have requested our chief engineer to contact the factory in Tijuana to determine our electricity and other costs. If we operate continuously for 3 months which may be a good idea, as we have never done extensive testing of this type, its a little expensive around \$45,000 just for electricity. If we run 24 x 7 for a month this would be a more reasonable cost. Would EPA be able to fund any part of the electricity costs?

I think we will want to sterilize the system before we test unless you want to test when its been sitting for 4+ months. We both know you will get some microbial growth when the system sits but that is not real world conditions unless you are storing in a warehouse, or yard waiting for deployment for a disaster relief situation.

This is a low humidity time of year so its not the best time to test and we would not want to do anything until sometime in January in any event.

I will ask our attorney to review the proposed language so we can get the body of the agreement done except for the SOW.

Thanks,

Roy Schwartz

Director of Project Financing

SkyH2O, Inc.

415-320-5625 mobile

www.SkyH2Oinc.com

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

On Wed, Dec 13, 2017 at 2:21 PM, Nye, Michael <nye.michael@epa.gov> wrote:

Hi Roy

Sorry to keep bugging you about this. I have looked over the SOW again and it seems there is some wiggle room for testing arrangements. I think we can work with you to devise an operating schedule that best reflects the intended use of your system, and minimizes the possibility for microbial growth (as this is most likely to occur when a system sits dormant for a period of time – hence our assumption that the unit would need to operate continuously). Would you like me to set up a meeting to chat with your technical folks about this? Are your lawyers satisfied with the revised wording for Para 4.1?

Thanks!

Mike

Michael B. Nye PhD

Net Zero Program Manager

National Exposure Research Laboratory

EPA Region 8 - Denver

US Environmental Protection Agency

T: 303 312 6986

M: 303 912 8259

From: Nye, Michael

Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 1:20 PM

To: 'Roy Schwartz' <Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy>

Subject: FW: Suggested CRADA language for paragraph 4.1

Hi Roy

Looks like we are good to go with the revised language below

Michael B. Nye PhD

Net Zero Program Manager

National Exposure Research Laboratory

EPA Region 8 - Denver

US Environmental Protection Agency

T: 303 312 6986

M: 303 912 8259

From: Scalise, Laura

Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 1:17 PM

To: Nye, Michael <nye.michael@epa.gov>; Bauer, Sarah
<Bauer.Sarah@epa.gov>; Graham, Kathleen <Graham.Kathleen@epa.gov>

Cc: Garland, Jay <Garland.Jay@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Suggested CRADA language for paragraph 4.1

Hi Michael. I'm OK with this language. - Laura

*"Everything should be made as simple as possible,
but not simpler." - Albert Einstein*

Laura Scalise

Patent Attorney

WJCN 7426-S

Office: 202-564-8303

iPhone: 202-839-1488 (for telework)

From: Nye, Michael

Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 3:11 PM

To: Bauer, Sarah <Bauer.Sarah@epa.gov>; Scalise, Laura
<Scalise.Laura@epa.gov>; Graham, Kathleen <Graham.Kathleen@epa.gov>

Cc: Garland, Jay <Garland.Jay@epa.gov>

Subject: Suggested CRADA language for paragraph 4.1

Ahead of our call today...

Sky H2O have requested that paragraph 4.1 (transfer of funds) is explicitly limited to costs for sampling. I have suggested this language based on our prior conversations

No funds are anticipated to be collected from the Company in the course of this effort. The Company shall incur all costs and expenses associated with the delivery of water samples to the designated EPA Laboratory facility.

Michael B. Nye PhD

Net Zero Program Manager

National Exposure Research Laboratory

EPA Region 8 - Denver

US Environmental Protection Agency

T: 303 312 6986

M: 303 912 8259